

## Letters to the Editor

*The Board of Editors does not hold itself responsible for opinions expressed in the letters published in this section. The notes containing short reports of original investigations communicated to this section should not contain many figures and should not exceed 500 words in length. The contributions reaching the Secretary by the 15th of any month may be expected to appear in the issue for the next month. No proof will be sent to the author.*

11

### ESTIMATION OF THE CRYSTALLINE ELECTRIC FIELD AND EVALUATION OF $g$ -FACTORS IN $\text{CuSO}_4 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$

A. NARASIMHAMURTHY AND D. PREMASWARUP

MICROWAVE LABORATORY, ANDHRA UNIVERSITY, WAITAIR

(Received March 8, 1963 ; Resubmitted August 2, 1963, and October 9, 1963).

In  $\text{Cu}(\text{KSO}_4)_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$  where the  $\text{Cu}^{++}$  ion is surrounded by six water molecules arranged with tetragonal symmetry, Polder (1942) calculated the induced and hence effective dipole moments of the two types of water molecules corresponding to the two different distances from the  $\text{Cu}^{++}$  ion. However, in the absence of any data on  $\text{Cu}(\text{KSO}_4)_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , Polder took the distance parameters the same as in  $\text{CuSO}_4 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$  in which the nearest neighbours of the  $\text{Cu}^{++}$  ion are established as four equidistant water molecule and two equidistant oxygen atoms (of the  $\text{SO}_4$  groups) distributed with tetragonal symmetry about the axis joining the two oxygen atoms according to the X-ray diffraction analysis of Lipson and Beevers (1934). These values are then utilised to calculate the strength of the crystalline electric field at the copper ion and the Stark energy splitting of the ground state. Results of this calculation were later used by Spence and Kikuchi (1950) to evaluate the principal  $g$ -values of the  $\text{Cu}^{++}$  ion in the  $\text{CuSO}_4 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$  crystal. However, the effect of the very considerable differences in the values of the permanent dipole moments of water molecules and oxygen atoms (1.87D and 0 respectively) makes the calculation for this salt different from that of Polder. We have undertaken such calculations for  $\text{CuSO}_4 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$  employing the method described in an earlier communication by us (1963) taking the oxygens as neutral atoms instead of  $(\text{SO}_4)^{2-}$  groups in view of the large size of this group compared to the Cu—O distance.

Both the water molecules and oxygen atoms are regarded as point dipoles placed at the corresponding lattice points with distances  $a = 2.0\text{\AA}$  and  $b = 2.3\text{\AA}$

respectively from the copper ion. Polarisabilities and permanent dipole moments of water and oxygen respectively are

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} &= 1.48 \times 10^{-24} \text{cc} & \alpha_{\text{O}} &= 1.57 \times 10^{-24} \text{cc} \\ \alpha_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} &= 1.87 \text{D} & \mu_{\text{O}} &= 0\end{aligned}$$

Representing the effective dipole moments of the water molecule and oxygen atoms by  $\mu_a$  and  $\mu_b$  respectively, so oriented that their negative ends are towards  $\text{Cu}^{++}$ , one can write down from the fields at the different lattice points due to the surrounding charges and dipoles, the following equations.

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_a &= \mu_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} + \alpha_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} \left[ \frac{Ze}{a^2} - \frac{\mu_a}{4a^3} - \frac{3\mu_a}{2\sqrt{2}a^3} - \frac{6ab\mu_b}{(a^2+b^2)^{5/2}} \right] \\ \mu_b &= \mu_{\text{O}} + \alpha_{\text{O}} \left[ \frac{Ze}{b^2} - \frac{12ab\mu_a}{(a^2+b^2)^{5/2}} - \frac{\mu_b}{4b^3} \right]\end{aligned}$$

The field in the immediate neighbourhood of the paramagnetic ion can be represented as usual by

$$V_{\text{cryst}} = A_2^0 r^2 Y_2^0 + A_4^0 r^4 Y_4^0 - A_4^{\pm 4} r^4 Y_4^{\pm 4}$$

Numerical values of the dipole moments and expressions and values for the field parameters are given in Table I.

TABLE I

	Authors	Polder
$\mu_a$	4.19D	4.00D
$\mu_b$	1.42D	3.33D
$A_2^0 \overline{r^2} = 12\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{5}} \left[ \frac{\mu_a}{a^4} - \frac{\mu_b}{b^4} \right] r^2$	39200 $\text{cm}^{-1}$	24600 $\text{cm}^{-1}$
$A_4^0 \overline{r^4} = -20\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{3}} \left[ \frac{3\mu_a}{a^6} + \frac{\mu_b}{b^6} \right] r^4$	-28300 "	-33300 "
$A_4^{\pm 4} \overline{r^4} = -\frac{5}{3} \sqrt{\frac{35\pi}{2}} \frac{\mu_a}{a^6} r^4$	-33000 "	31400 "

In the two different models, the relative magnitudes of  $A_4^0$  and  $A_4^{\pm 4}$  get reversed. As the crystal field parameters are directly related to the matrix elements of the potential energy, their sign and magnitude essentially determine the ultimate energy levels.

Under the tetragonal field the  $^2D$  state of the  $Cu^{++}$  free ion splits into three singlet and one doublet levels. Numerical values of the energies are as follows.

State	Level	Authors	Polder
$\phi_{1a}, \phi_{1b}$	$E_{1ab}$	7440 $cm^{-1}$	6606 $cm^{-1}$
$\phi_0$	$E_0$	2529 ,,	-1363 ,,
$\phi_{2b}$	$E_{2b}$	172 ,,	2224 ,,
$\phi_{2a}$	$E_{2a}$	-17298 ,,	-14143 ,,

In terms of the energy levels the principal  $g$ -factors are given by

$$g_i = 2 - 2\lambda \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{\langle 0 | L_i | n \rangle \langle n | L_i | 0 \rangle}{E_n - E_0} \quad i = x, y, z$$

Under the present conditions the equations for  $g$  reduce to

$$g_z = g_{||} = 2 - 8\lambda / (E_{2b} - E_{2a})$$

$$g_x = g_y = g_{\perp} = 2 - 2\lambda / (E_{1ab} - E_{2a})$$

The spin-orbit coupling constant  $\lambda$  is taken as  $828 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . Calculated values of  $g_{||}$  and  $g_{\perp}$  are indicated in Table II along with those obtained by Spence and Kikuchi using Polder's energy values. Experimental values obtained by various investigators are also included in the Table.

TABLE II

Calculated values			Experimental values			
	Authors	Spence and Kikuchi	Bagguley and Griffiths	Wheatley and Halliday	Arnold and Kip	Authors
$g_{  }$	2.38	2.43	2.40	2.39	2.38	2.41
$g_{\perp}$	2.07	2.08	2.08	2.07	2.05	2.07

In view of the large splittings of the ground state in both the models, the change in the calculated  $g$ -values is not very significant. However, a more significant change is obtained in regard to the energies of the various levels. In addition to an overall increase in the splittings due to the crystal field an actual crossing over of the levels  $\phi_0$  and  $\phi_{2b}$  occurs as one goes from Polder's model to the authors' model owing to a comparatively higher magnitude of the tetragonal parameter.

In conclusion certain approximations which had to be made to make the calculations simple may be clearly stated. The polarisation of the oxygen atoms by the sulphur and other oxygens of the  $SO_4$  group may slightly change its dipole

moment value. The values of  $\overline{r^2}$  and  $\overline{r^4}$  used in the calculations refer to the values corresponding to the 3d electrons only, any overlap between the Cu-3d and O-2s, p orbitals being neglected. Finally such an overlap may also introduce a small change in the value of  $\lambda$  the spin-orbit coupling constant.

The authors wish to express their deep indebtedness to Prof. K. R. Rao for his valuable guidance and kind encouragement throughout the progress of this work. One of us (A.N.) is grateful to the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research for the award of a Junior Research Fellowship.

#### REFERENCES

- Arnold, R. D., and Kip, A. F. 1949, *Phys. Rev.*, **75**, 1199.  
Bagguley, D. M. S., and Griffiths, J. H. E. 1947, *Nature*, **160**, 532.  
Lipson, H., and Beevers, C. A. 1934, *Proc. Roy. Soc.*, **A146**, 570.  
Narasimhamurthy, A., and Premaswarup, D. 1963, *Ind. J. of Pure Appl. Phys.*, **1**, 100.  
Polder, D. 1942, *Physica*, **9**, 709.  
Spence, R. D., and Kikuchi, C. 1950. *Am. Jour. Phys.*, **18**, 165.  
Wheatley, J., and Halliday, D. 1949, *Phys. Rev.*, **75**, 1412.